**Work Item Charge:** Modify Annex F to remove redundancy of product specification marking when API monogram is applied.

**Ballot Rationale:** Current wording in API 5CT Annex F requires redundant and unnecessary marking of product specification identification. Manufacturers historically have not clearly understood that this redundancy is required by specification and new API monogram audit findings have begun to be prevalent in the industry.

**Ballot Text:** See below.

NOTE Also refer to the ballot notification email for additional information.
Annex F
(informative)

Additional Information for API Monogram Licensees

F.1 Other Marking Requirements for Products

Products manufactured in conformance with this standard may be marked by the API Licensee as specified in Section 11 and this annex. Products to which the API Monogram is applied shall be marked according to Section 11 and this annex along with the requirements of Annex A, API Monogram licensing agreement, and any alternative marking agreement.

It is allowable to place additional markings for other compatible standards following the required marking sequence. Such markings are at the option of the manufacturer or as requested by the purchaser.

The complete monogram marking consists of the following: “Spec 5CT”, API license number of the plant doing the manufacturing, the API Monogram and the date of manufacture. When the license number contains the specification identification, there is no need to duplicate the letters “5CT” for specification marking (e.g., “API 5CT-XXXX” instead of “API 5CT 5CT-XXXX”).